arXiv:0804.0534v1 [math.PR] 3 Apr 2008

CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES OF KEMP'S q-BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

STEFAN GERHOLD AND MARTIN ZEINER

Abstract. We consider Kemp's q-analogue of the binomial distribution. Several convergence results involving the classical binomial, the Heine, the discrete normal, and the Poisson distribution are established. Some of them are q-analogues of classical convergence properties. Besides elementary estimates, we apply Mellin transform asymptotics.

1. Introduction

Kemp [4] introduced the following q-analogue $KB(n,\theta,q)$ of the binomial distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{KB}=x)=\begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\theta^x q^{x(x-1)/2}}{(-\theta,q)_n}, \qquad 0\le x\le n,\ 0<\theta,$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q,q)_n}{(q,q)_k (q,q)_{n-k}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (z,q)_n=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-zq^i)$$

are the q-binomial coefficient and the q-shifted factorial. See [3, 5, 6] for properties and applications of this distribution; for an introduction to the q-calculus see [2]. For $q\to 1$, this distribution tends to a binomial distribution:

$$KB(n,\theta,q)\to B\left(n,\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}\right).$$

For $n\to\infty$, it tends to the Heine distribution $H(\theta)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_H=x)=\frac{q^{x(x-1)/2}\theta^x}{(q,q)_x}e_q(-\theta), \qquad x\ge 0,$$

where

$$e_q(z)=\frac{1}{(z,q)_\infty}, \qquad z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{q^{-i}:\ i=1,2,\dots\}$$

is a q-analogue of the exponential function, since $e_q((1-q)z)\to e^z$. The Heine distribution is a q-analogue of the Poisson distribution, since $H((1-q)\theta)\to P(\theta)$. Moreover, we need a second q-analogue of the exponential function, the function $E_q(z)=(-z,q)_\infty$. Note that we have $e_q(z)E_q(-z)=1$.

Date: April 3, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60F05; Secondary: 33D15.

Key words and phrases. q-binomial distribution, discrete normal distribution, Heine distribution, Mellin transform, limit theorems.

S. Gerhold received financial support by Christian Doppler Laboratory for Portfolio Risk Management (PRisMa Lab).The fruitful collaboration and support by the Bank Austria Creditanstalt (BA-CA) and the Austrian Federal Financing Agency (ÖBFA) through CDG is gratefully acknowledged.

M. Zeiner was supported by the NAWI-Graz project and the Austrian Science Fund project S9611 of the National Research Network S9600 Analytic Combinatorics and Probabilistic Number Theory.

The random variable X_{KB} can be written as the sum of independent Bernoulli random variables [6], which leads to the expressions

$$\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta q^i}{1+\theta q^i} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\theta q^i}{(1+\theta q^i)^2} \tag{1}$$

for the mean and variance. We are now interested in sequences of random variables X_n with $X_n \sim KB(n, \theta_n, q)$, in particular we show that there are analogues to the convergence of the classical binomial distribution to the Poisson distribution and the Normal distribution, and that the limits $q \to 1$ and $n \to \infty$ can be exchanged. Section 2 deals with two cases of convergent parameter θ_n, in particular with the case of constant mean. In Section 3 we show that, if θ_n grows sub-exponentially, the normalized X_n converge to a discrete normal distribution. In Section 4 we examine the case of an exponentially growing parameter sequence θ_n.

2. CONVERGENT PARAMETER

As noted above we consider sequences of random variables X_n with $X_n \sim X_{KB}(n, \theta_n(q), q)$. In the present section we will provide convergence results for two different sequences $\theta_n(q)$ which both tend to a limit as $n \to \infty$. In the following we need

Lemma 2.1. *Let* (θ_n) *be a sequence of real numbers with limit* $\theta \geq 0$. *Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \theta_n q^i\right) = E_q(\theta).$$

Proof. For small $\epsilon > 0$ and n large enough, we have

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + (\theta - \epsilon) q^i\right) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \theta_n q^i\right) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + (\theta + \epsilon) q^i\right),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_q(\theta - \epsilon) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + (\theta - \epsilon) q^i\right) \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \theta_n q^i\right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \theta_n q^i\right) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + (\theta + \epsilon) q^i\right) \\ &= E_q(\theta + \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of E_q, the lemma follows. □

The q-number $[x]_q$ is defined as

$$[x]_q := \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q};$$

for $q \to 1$, we have $[x]_q \to x$. Now we can establish our first convergence result.

Theorem 2.2.

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_{KB}(n, \theta_n(q), q) \arrow[r, "n\to\infty"] \arrow[d, "q\to1"'] & H((1-q)\lambda) \arrow[d, "q\to1"] \\ B\left(n, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right) \arrow[r, "n\to\infty"'] & P(\lambda) \end{tikzcd}$$

with $\theta_n(q) = \lambda/[n - \lambda]_q$.

Proof. We only have to show $X_{KB}(n,\theta_n(q),q) \to H((1-q)\lambda)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X_n = x) &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \left(\frac{\lambda}{[n-\lambda]_q}\right)^x \frac{q^{x(x-1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{[n-\lambda]_q}q^i\right)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\lambda^x(1-q)^x}{(1-q^{n-\lambda})^x} \frac{q^{x(x-1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{\lambda(1-q)}{1-q^{n-\lambda}}q^i\right)} \\ &\to \frac{q^{x(x-1)/2}((1-q)\lambda)^x}{(q,q)_x} e_q(-(1-q)\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from Lemma 2.1. □

For our next result, we note the following elementary fact.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f_n(x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of functions that are increasing in x, and suppose that for each n there is a unique solution x_n of $f_n(x) = 0$. Moreover, assume that $f_n(x) < f_m(x)$ for $n < m$ and that f_n converges pointwise to a limit f with a unique solution $\hat{x}$ of $f(x) = 0$. Then $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\hat{x}$.*

Proof. Since $f_n(x) < f_m(x)$ for $n < m$, the sequence (x_n) is decreasing and $x_n \geq \hat{x}$. Therefore x_n converges to a limit $\tilde{x} \geq \hat{x}$. Moreover,

$$0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x_n) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{x}),$$

thus $\tilde{x} \leq \hat{x}$. □

Our second convergence result is analogous to the classical convergence of the binomial distribution with constant mean to the Poisson distribution.

Theorem 2.4. *Fix $\mu > 0$. Then we have*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X_{KB}(n,\theta_n(q),q) \arrow[r, "n\to\infty"] \arrow[d, "q\to 1"'] & H(\theta(q)) \arrow[d, "q\to 1"] \\ B\left(n,\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \arrow[r, "n\to\infty"'] & P(\mu) \end{tikzcd}$$

with $\theta_n(q)$ such that $\mu_n = \mu$ and $\theta(q) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\theta_n(q)$.

Proof. First we check that for given $\mu > 0$ and fixed q there is a unique sequence $(\theta_n(q))_{n\geq N}$, such that $\mu_n(\theta_n(q),q) = \mu$. The function $\mu_n(\theta,q)$ is strictly increasing in θ and $\mu_n(0,q) = 0$. Since

$$\mu_n(q^{-n+1},q) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{q^{i-n+1}}{2q^{i-n+1}} = \frac{n}{2}$$

and $\mu_n(\theta,q)$ is continuous in θ, there exists a unique solution $\theta_n(q)$ of $\mu_n(\theta,q) = \mu$ for each $n \geq 2\mu$. As $\mu_n(\theta,q)$ is increasing in n, we can apply Lemma 2.3 to obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty}\theta_n = \theta(q)$, with $\theta(q)$ the unique solution of $\mu_\infty(\theta,q) = \mu$. Thus $X_{KB}(n,\theta_n(q),q) \to H(\theta(q))$ by Lemma 2.1.

The function $\mu_n(\theta,q)$ is also increasing in q, so we get $\theta_n(q) \to \frac{\mu}{n-\mu}$ (or equivalently $\frac{\theta_n(q)}{1+\theta_n(q)} \to \frac{\mu}{n}$) for $q \to 1$ by Lemma 2.3. So $X_{KB}(n,\theta_n(q),q) \to B\left(n,\frac{\mu}{n}\right)$.

It remains to check that $\theta(q)/(1-q)$ converges to μ for $q \to 1$ (then $H(\theta(q)) \to P(\mu)$). The value $\theta(q)/(1-q)$ is the unique solution of $\mu_\infty((1-q)\theta,q) = \mu$. Moreover, $\mu_\infty((1-q)\theta,q)$ is increasing in x and θ and $\lim_{q\to 1}\mu_\infty((1-q)\theta,q) = \theta$ (because $H((1-q)\theta) \to P(\theta)$). Thus we can again apply Lemma 2.3. □

3. SUB-EXPONENTIALLY INCREASING PARAMETER

Now we consider parameter sequences $\theta_n = q^{-f(n)}$ with $f(n) \to \infty$ and $n - f(n) \to \infty$ for $n \to \infty$. These assumptions on $f(n)$ will be in force throughout the section. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 and Lemmas 3.4–3.6 are devoted to the asymptotic behavior of the sequence (μ_n) of means. As they tend to infinity, we will normalize our sequence of random variables to $(X_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n$. Still, this sequence does not converge in distribution without further assumptions on $f(n)$. A fruitful way to proceed is to pick subsequences along which the fractional part $\{f(n)\}$ is constant. Theorem 3.7 shows that this induces convergence to discrete normal distributions.

To investigate the sequence of means, we begin by providing an elementary estimate for the variance.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\theta_n = q^{-f(n)}$ with the above assumptions on $f(n)$, then the sequence of variances satisfies $\sigma_n^2 \le 2/(1-q)$.*

Proof. By (1), the variance σ_n^2 equals

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{(1+q^{i-f(n)})^2} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n)\rfloor} \frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{(1+q^{i-f(n)})^2} + \sum_{i=\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1}^{n} \frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{(1+q^{i-f(n)})^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n)\rfloor} \frac{q^{-\{f(n)\}-i}}{(1+q^{-\{f(n)\}-i})^2} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-\lfloor f(n)\rfloor-1} \frac{q^{i+1-\{f(n)\}}}{(1+q^{i+1-\{f(n)\}})^2} \qquad (2)\\ &< \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{-\{f(n)\}-i}} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{i+1-\{f(n)\}} \\ &\le \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i = \frac{2}{1-q}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The following result about the sequence of means does not reveal the structure of the O(1) term, but will be useful later on (Lemma 3.5).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X_n \sim KB(n, \theta_n, q)$ with $\theta_n = q^{-f(n)}$. Then, for $n \to \infty$,*

$$\mu_n = f(n) + c(\{f(n)\}, q) + \mathrm{o}(1),$$

where

$$c(\{f(n)\}, q) := 1 - \frac{1}{1+q^{-\{f(n)\}}} - \{f(n)\} - \sum_{\ell\ge0} \frac{1}{1+q^{-\ell-\{f(n)\}-1}} + \sum_{\ell\ge0} \frac{1}{1+q^{-\ell+\{f(n)\}-1}} = \mathrm{O}(1).$$

Proof. We start from

$$\mu_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{1+q^{i-f(n)}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} \qquad (3)$$

and split the sum into two parts (w.l.o.g. $f(n) < n$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n)\rfloor -1} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n)\rfloor -1} \sum_{\ell\geq 0} (-1)^\ell q^{\ell(f(n)-i)} \\
&= \sum_{\ell\geq 0} (-1)^\ell q^{\ell f(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n)\rfloor -1} q^{-\ell i} \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \sum_{\ell\geq 1} (-1)^\ell q^{\ell f(n)} \frac{1-q^{-\ell\lfloor f(n)\rfloor}}{1-q^{-\ell}} \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor - \sum_{\ell\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^\ell q^{\ell\{f(n)\}}}{1-q^{-\ell}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{f(n)}\right) \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \sum_{\ell\geq 1} \frac{q^\ell(-1)^\ell q^{\ell\{f(n)\}}}{1-q^{\ell}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{f(n)}\right) \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \sum_{\ell\geq 1} q^\ell(-1)^\ell q^{\ell\{f(n)\}} \sum_{j\geq 0} q^{\ell j} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{f(n)}\right) \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \sum_{j\geq 0}\sum_{\ell\geq 1} \left(-q^{j+1+\{f(n)\}}\right)^\ell + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{f(n)}\right) \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{-q^{j+1+\{f(n)\}}}{1+q^{j+1+\{f(n)\}}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{f(n)}\right) \\
&= \lfloor f(n)\rfloor - \sum_{j\geq 0} \frac{1}{1+q^{-j-1-\{f(n)\}}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{f(n)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

For the upper portion of the sum, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} &= \sum_{i=\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{n-f(n)}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{\{f(n)\}-i-1}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{n-f(n)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-n-i}} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{f(n)-n-i}} = q^{n-f(n)}\frac{1}{1-q}.$$

□

In the limit $q \to 1$, the term $c(\{f(n)\}, q)$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$. To see this, apply the Euler-Maclaurin formula to

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1+q^{-x-b}}$$

with $b > 0$, which yields

$$\sum_{\ell\geq 0} f(\ell) = \int_0^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x + \frac{f(0)}{2} + \frac{1}{12} f'(x)\Big|_{x=0}^{\infty} + R_2 \tag{4}$$

with

$$R_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty B_2\left(\{x\}\right) f''(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Since

$$f''(x) = \frac{(\log q)^2 q^{x+b}\left(1-q^{x+b}\right)}{\left(1+q^{x+b}\right)^3}$$

does not change sign, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|R_2| &\le \frac{1}{12}\int_0^\infty |f''(x)|\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{12}\int_0^\infty f''(x)\mathrm{d}x \\ &= -(\log q)q^{-b}(1+q^{-b})^{-2} = \mathrm{o}(1), \qquad q \to 1.\end{aligned}$$

The first integral in (4) is

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)\mathrm{d}x = -\frac{\log\left(1+q^b\right)}{\log q} = \frac{\log 2}{1-q} - \frac{\log 2 + b}{2} + \mathrm{O}\left(1-q\right), \qquad q \to 1.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{\ell \ge 0} f(\ell) = \frac{\log 2}{1-q} - \frac{\log 2 + b}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \mathrm{o}(1), \qquad q \to 1.$$

Application to the sums appearing in $c(\{f(n)\},q)$ gives

$$c(\{f(n)\},q) = \frac{1}{2} - \{f(n)\} + \{f(n)\} + \mathrm{o}(1).$$

Note that for $q \to 1$ the error term in the representation for μ_n increases. This is why the limits for $q \to 1$ and $n \to \infty$ can't be exchanged (μ_n tends to $n/2$ for $q \to 1$).

The following theorem provides a different representation of the O(1) term from Theorem 3.2, which shows that it is a $\frac{1}{2}$-periodic function of $f(n)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $X_n \sim KB(n, \theta_n, q)$ *with* $\theta_n = q^{-f(n)}$. *Then, as* $n \to \infty$,

$$\mu_n = f(n) + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k>0} \frac{2\pi \sin(2kf(n)\pi)}{\log q \sinh\left(\frac{2k\pi^2}{\log q}\right)} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{\min(f(n)/2, n-f(n))}\right). \tag{5}$$

Proof. We write

$$\mu_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+q^{f(n)-i}} + \mathrm{O}\left(q^{n-f(n)}\right)$$

and apply the Mellin transformation [1] to

$$h(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+tq^{-i}}.$$

By the linearity of the Mellin transformation $\mathcal{M}$ and the properties $\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{1}{1+t}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s}$ and $\mathcal{M}h(\alpha t)(s) = \alpha^{-s}\mathcal{M}(h)(s)$, we see that

$$\mathcal{M}(h)(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{-i}\right)^{-s} \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} = \frac{1}{1-q^s}\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s}.$$

Exchanging $\mathcal{M}$ and the sum is permitted by the monotone convergence theorem. From the inverse transformation formula we get

$$h\left(q^{f(n)}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} q^{-f(n)s}\frac{1}{1-q^s}\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s}\mathrm{d}s \tag{6}$$

for $c \in (0,1)$. To evaluate this integral, we choose the integration contour $\gamma_k = \gamma_{k,1} \cup \gamma_{k,2} \cup \gamma_{k,3} \cup \gamma_{k,4}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{k,1} &= \left\{ s \mid s = \frac{1}{2} + iv \;:\; -T_k \le v \le T_k \right\} \\
\gamma_{k,2} &= \left\{ s \mid s = u + iT_k :\; -\frac{1}{2} \le u \le \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\
\gamma_{k,3} &= \left\{ s \mid s = -\frac{1}{2} + iv \;:\; -T_k \le v \le T_k \right\} \\
\gamma_{k,4} &= \left\{ s \mid s = u - iT_k :\; -\frac{1}{2} \le u \le \frac{1}{2} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $T_k = \frac{2\pi}{\log q}\left(k + \frac{1}{4}\right)$. Then

$$h\left(q^{f(n)}\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\gamma_{k,1}} = - \lim_{k\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\gamma_{k,2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\gamma_{k,3}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{\gamma_{k,4}} + \sum \text{residues}\Big),$$

since the integral on the left side exists. Now we estimate the integrals on the right side.

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int\limits_{\gamma_{k,3}} q^{-f(n)s} \frac{1}{1-q^s} \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} \mathrm{d}s \right| &= \left| \int\limits_{-T_k}^{T_k} q^{-f(n)(-\frac{1}{2}+iv)} \frac{1}{1-q^{-\frac{1}{2}+iv}} \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi(-\frac{1}{2}+iv))} \mathrm{d}v \right| \\
&\le \pi q^{\frac{f(n)}{2}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left|1-q^{-\frac{1}{2}+iv}\right|} \frac{1}{\left|\sin(\pi(-\frac{1}{2}+iv))\right|} \mathrm{d}v \\
&\le \pi q^{\frac{f(n)}{2}} \frac{1}{1-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} + \sinh^2 \pi v}} \mathrm{d}v \\
&= q^{\frac{f(n)}{2}} \frac{\pi}{1-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int\limits_{\gamma_{k,2}} q^{-f(n)s} \frac{1}{1-q^s} \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} \mathrm{d}s \right| &= \left| \int\limits_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-f(n)(u+iT_k)} \frac{1}{1-q^{u+iT_k}} \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi(u+iT_k))} \mathrm{d}u \right| \\
&\le \pi \int\limits_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-f(n)u} \frac{1}{|1-q^{u+iT_k}|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2 \pi u + \sinh^2 \pi T_k}} \mathrm{d}u \\
&\le \pi q^{-\frac{1}{2}f(n)} \int\limits_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{q^u \left|\sin(T_k \log q)\right|} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sinh^2 \pi T_k}} \mathrm{d}u \\
&\le \frac{\pi q^{-\frac{1}{2}f(n)}}{\sinh \pi T_k} \int\limits_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{q^u} \mathrm{d}u \overset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
\end{aligned}$$

The integral over $\gamma_{k,4}$ is treated similarly. Now let us compute the residues: $\frac{1}{1-q^s}$ has simple poles at $z_k := \frac{2\pi i k}{\log q}$, and $\frac{1}{\sin \pi s}$ has a simple pole at 0. First we consider the residue at z_k for $k \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{z\to z_k}(z-z_k)q^{-f(n)z}\frac{1}{1-q^z}\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi z} &= q^{-f(n)\frac{2\pi ik}{\log q}}\frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi ik}{\log q}\pi\right)}\lim_{z\to z_k}\frac{z-z_k}{1-q^z}\\ &= e^{-f(n)2\pi ik}\frac{\pi}{i\sinh\left(\frac{2\pi^2 k}{\log q}\right)}\frac{1}{-\log q}\end{aligned}$$

The sum extended over the residues at the poles z_k, $k \neq 0$, therefore equals

$$\sum_{k\neq 0}\frac{i\pi e^{-2if(n)k\pi}}{\log q\sinh\left(\frac{2k\pi^2}{\log q}\right)}.$$

Putting together the summands k and $-k$,

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-2if(n)k\pi}-e^{2if(n)k\pi} &= \cos(-2if(n)k\pi)+i\sin(-2f(n)k\pi)-\cos(2f(n)k\pi)-i\sin(2f(n)k\pi)\\ &= -2i\sin(2f(n)k\pi),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{k>0}\frac{2\pi\sin(2kf(n)\pi)}{\log q\sinh\left(\frac{2k\pi^2}{\log q}\right)}.$$

Finally, by the expansions

$$\begin{aligned}q^{-f(n)s} &= 1-f(n)\log q\ s+\mathrm{O}(s^2)\\ \frac{1}{1-q^s} &= -\frac{1}{\log q\ s}+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{O}(s)\\ \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi s} &= \frac{1}{s}+\mathrm{O}(s),\end{aligned}$$

the residue at $z_0 = 0$ is $f(n) + \frac{1}{2}$. □

It is worthwhile to evaluate the sum in (5) in the limit $q \to 0$. First note that, if n is fixed and $q \to 0$, then (3) easily yields

$$\mu_n \to \begin{cases} f(n)+1-\{f(n)\} & \text{if } \{f(n)\}>0\\ f(n)+\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \{f(n)\}=0\end{cases}.$$

Moreover, the O-term in (5) is o(1) for $q \to 0$, as follows readily from the estimates in the proof of Theorem 3.3. These two facts combined imply

$$\lim_{q\to 0}\sum_{k>0}\frac{2\pi\sin(2kf(n)\pi)}{\log q\sinh\left(\frac{2k\pi^2}{\log q}\right)} = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}-\{f(n)\} & \text{if } \{f(n)\}>0\\ 0 & \text{if } \{f(n)\}=0\end{cases}.$$

Note that in the special case $f(n) = \alpha n$ with positive α, the summands tend to the summands of the Fourier series of $\frac{1}{2} - \{f(n)\}$, if $\{\alpha n\} > 0$.

After this analysis of the means μ_n, we turn our attention to the convergence of the distributions. As mentioned above, a sufficient condition for convergence of $(X_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n$ is that $\{f(n)\}$ is constant.

Lemma 3.4. *If we choose a subsequence (n_k) such that $\{f(n_k)\} = \beta$ constant, then:*

(a) *For $k \to \infty$*

$$\mu_{n_k} = f(n_k) + c(\beta, q) + \mathrm{o}(1),$$

with $c(\beta, q)$ is a constant depending on β and q.

(b) (i) $c(0, q) = c(1/2, q) = 1/2$
    (ii) $c(\beta, q) + c(-\beta, q) = 1$

Proof. Use (5) and simple properties of sin. □

Lemma 3.5. *Set* $\beta = \{f(n)\}$. *Then*

$$\lfloor c(\beta,q)+\beta\rfloor = \begin{cases} 0 & 0\le\beta<1/2 \\ 1 & 1/2\le\beta<1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. We define

$$\hat{c}(\{f(n)\},q) := c(\{f(n)\},q) - 1 + \{f(n)\}.$$

By Theorem 3.2, $\hat{c}(\beta,q)$ is strictly increasing in β. Therefore we have for $0\le\beta<1/2$

$$\hat{c}(0,q) = -\frac{1}{2} \le \hat{c}(\beta,q) < \hat{c}(1/2,q) = -\frac{1}{1-q^{-1/2}} + \frac{1}{1-q^{-1/2}} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}-\beta \le c(\beta,q) < 1-\beta \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \le c(\beta,q)+\beta < 1. \tag{7}$$

Similarly, we get for $1/2\le\beta<1$

$$1-\beta \le c(\beta,q) < \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1 \le c(\beta,q)+\beta < \frac{1}{2}+\beta < \frac{3}{2}. \tag{8}$$

□

Lemma 3.6. (i) *If* $\beta \ne \frac{1}{2}$, *then* $f(n)+c(\beta,q)\notin\mathbb{Z}$. *Thus*

$$\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor = \lfloor f(n)+c(\beta,q)\rfloor = \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \lfloor \beta + c(\beta,q)\rfloor.$$

(ii) *For* $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\mu_n > f(n)+\frac{1}{2} \ \text{ if } \ 2f(n)\le n-1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_n < f(n)+\frac{1}{2} \ \text{ if } \ 2f(n)\ge n.$$

Thus

$$\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor = f(n)+\frac{1}{2} \ \text{ if } \ 2f(n)\le n-1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lceil\mu_n\rceil = f(n)+\frac{1}{2} \ \text{ if } \ 2f(n)\ge n.$$

Proof. (i): From (7) we get for $0\le\beta<1/2$

$$f(n)+\frac{1}{2}-\beta < f(n)+c(\beta,q) < f(n)+1-\beta$$

and therefore

$$\lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \frac{1}{2} < f(n)+c(\beta,q) < \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + 1.$$

Similarly, from (8) we get for $1/2<\beta<1$

$$\lfloor f(n)\rfloor + 1 < f(n)+c(\beta,q) < \lfloor f(n)\rfloor + \frac{3}{2}.$$

(ii): Assume $2f(n)\le n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{1+q^{i-f(n)}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{f(n)-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{1+q^{i-f(n)}} + \sum_{i=f(n)+\frac{1}{2}}^{2f(n)}\frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{1+q^{i-f(n)}} + \sum_{2f(n)+1}^{n-1}\frac{q^{i-f(n)}}{1+q^{i-f(n)}} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{f(n)-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{q^{-i-\frac{1}{2}}}{1+q^{-i-\frac{1}{2}}} + \sum_{i=0}^{f(n)-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{q^{i+\frac{1}{2}}}{1+q^{i+\frac{1}{2}}} + \mathrm{o}(1) \\
&= f(n)+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{o}(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We used $\frac{q}{1+q}+\frac{q^{-1}}{1+q^{-1}}=1$; the o(1)-term is non-negative (and vanishes only for $2f(n)=n-1$). If $2f(n)\ge n$, then the third sum vanishes and the second sum just runs up to $n-1<2f(n)$, so $\mu_n<f(n)+\frac{1}{2}$. □

Note that similarly to the proof of (ii) we can prove the properties of $c(\beta, q)$ in Lemma 3.4 (b). Especially one can directly show the following theorem for $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = 0$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers and $X_{n_k} \sim KB(n_k, \theta_{n_k}, q)$ with $\theta_{n_k} = q^{-f(n_k)}$ and $\{f(n_k)\} = \beta$ constant. Recall that we always assume $f(n) \to \infty$ and $n - f(n) \to \infty$. Then $(X_{n_k} - \mu_{n_k})/\sigma_{n_k}$ converges for $k \to \infty$ to a limit X, with*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X = -(\beta + c)\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma}x\right) = e_q(q)e_q(-q^\beta)e_q(-q^{1-\beta})q^{(x-1)(x-2\beta)/2}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{9}$$

if $\beta < 1/2$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X = -(\beta + c - 1)\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma}x\right) = e_q(q)e_q(-q^\beta)e_q(-q^{1-\beta})q^{x((1+x)-2\beta)/2}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{10}$$

if $\beta > 1/2$ and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X = \frac{1}{\sigma}x\right) = e_q(q)e_q(-q^{1/2})^2 q^{x^2/2}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{11}$$

if $\beta = 1/2$, where $c = c(\beta, q)$ is the constant from Lemma 3.4 and $\sigma = \lim_{k\to\infty} \sigma_{n_k}$. The distribution of X is symmetric iff $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1/2$.

Proof. For simplicity we write in the following n instead of n_k. From (2) one gets that the σ_n converge. Consider the case $\beta \neq 1/2$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(X_n = \lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x) &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{\theta_n^{\lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x} q^{(\lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x)(\lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x - 1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1 + \theta_n q^i)} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ \lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{-(\lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x)f(n) + (\lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x)(\lfloor \mu_n \rfloor + x - 1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1 + \frac{q^i}{q^{f(n)}}\right)}. \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

The product in the denominator equals

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1 + \frac{q^i}{q^{f(n)}}\right) &= \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor}\left(1 + \frac{q^i}{q^{f(n)}}\right) \prod_{i=\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1}^{n-1}\left(1 + \frac{q^i}{q^{f(n)}}\right) \\
&= q^{-f(n)(\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1) + (\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1)\lfloor f(n) \rfloor/2} \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor}\left(q^{f(n)-i} + 1\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=0}^{n - \lfloor f(n) \rfloor - 2}\left(1 + q^{i + \lfloor f(n) \rfloor - f(n) + 1}\right) \\
&= q^{-f(n)(\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1) + (\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1)\lfloor f(n) \rfloor/2} \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor}\left(q^{f(n) - \lfloor f(n) \rfloor} q^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor - i} + 1\right) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{i=0}^{n - \lfloor f(n) \rfloor - 2}\left(1 + q^i q^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor - f(n) + 1}\right) \\
&= q^{-f(n)(\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1) + (\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1)\lfloor f(n) \rfloor/2} \left(-q^\beta; q\right)_{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor + 1} \left(-q^{-\beta+1}; q\right)_{n - \lfloor f(n) \rfloor - 2}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality uses the easy relation

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1 + zq^i) = q^{n(n-1)/2} z^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1 + (zq^i)^{-1}). \tag{14}$$

The last two terms in (13) tend to $e_q\left(-q^{\beta}\right)$ and $e_q\left(-q^{-\beta+1}\right)$. The q-binomial coefficient in (12) tends to $e_q(q)$. The exponent of q resulting from (12) and (13) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor+x)f(n)+(\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor+x)(\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor+x-1)/2+f(n)\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1\right)-\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1\right)\lfloor f(n)\rfloor/2\\
&\quad=\ \left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor+x\right)\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor-1+x\right)/2-\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor+x\right)f(n)\\
&\qquad\quad+f(n)\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1\right)-\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1\right)\lfloor f(n)\rfloor/2\\
&\quad=\ \frac{1}{2}(x-1+\delta)(\delta-2f(n)+2\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+x)\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{2}(x-1+\delta)(\delta-2\beta+x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c=c(\beta,q)$ and

$$\delta=\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor=\begin{cases}0 & \beta<1/2\\ 1 & \beta>1/2\end{cases}$$

by Lemma 3.5. Putting things together, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n=\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor+x)\to e_q(q)e_q\left(-q^{\beta}\right)e_q\left(-q^{-\beta+1}\right)q^{\frac{(\delta+x-1)(\delta+x-2\beta)}{2}}.$$

By normalizing X_n we get (9) and (10). The distribution of X is symmetric iff

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\left(\beta+c-\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor\right)=-\left(\beta+c-\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor\right)+1\\
\iff\qquad &\beta+c-\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor=\frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is true for $\beta=0$ by Lemma 3.4 (b) (i). For $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ we have $\lfloor\beta+c\rfloor=0$ by Lemma 3.5. But then we must have $\beta+c=\frac{1}{2}$, which would contradict (7) (since equality only holds for $\beta=0$). For $\beta>\frac{1}{2}$ we must have $\beta+c=\frac{3}{2}$ by Lemma 3.5, but this would be a contradiction to (8).

For $\beta=1/2$ define

$$H(\mu_n):=\begin{cases}\lfloor\mu_n\rfloor & \text{if } 2f(n)\le n-1\\ \lceil\mu_n\rceil & \text{if } 2f(n)\ge n\end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_n=H(\mu_n)+x\right)=\begin{bmatrix}n\\ H(\mu_n)+x\end{bmatrix}_q\frac{q^{-(H(\mu_n)+x)f(n)+(H(\mu_n)+x)(H(\mu_n)+x-1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{q^i}{q^{f(n)}}\right)}.$$

The q-binomial-coefficient tends to $e_q(q)$, and the product can be transformed as above. This time the exponent of q equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(H(\mu_n)+x)f(n)+(H(\mu_n)+x)(H(\mu_n)+x-1)/2+f(n)\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1\right)\\
&\qquad-\left(\lfloor f(n)\rfloor+1\right)\lfloor f(n)\rfloor/2\\
&\quad=-(f(n)+\frac{1}{2}+x)f(n)+(f(n)+\frac{1}{2}+x)(f(n)-\frac{1}{2}+x)/2\\
&\qquad+f(n)\left(f(n)-\frac{1}{2}+1\right)-\left(f(n)-\frac{1}{2}+1\right)\left(f(n)-\frac{1}{2}\right)/2\\
&\quad=\frac{x^2}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_n=H(\mu_n)+x\right)\to e_q(q)e_q\left(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2q^{\frac{x^2}{2}}.$$

By normalizing X_n we get (11). □

The discrete normal distribution is defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(X=x)=\frac{q^{-x\alpha}q^{x^2/2}}{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{-k\alpha}q^{k^2/2}}\qquad\alpha\in\mathbb{R},\qquad x\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

So the limit distributions in the preceding theorem are normalized discrete normal distributions with parameters

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha = \frac{1}{2} + \beta & \text{if } \beta < \frac{1}{2} \\ \alpha = -\frac{1}{2} + \beta & \text{if } \beta > \frac{1}{2} \\ \alpha = 0 & \text{if } \beta = \frac{1}{2} \end{array} .$$

For $q \to 1$, they converge to the standard normal distribution, see [7].

4. Exponentially Growing Parameter

Theorem 4.1. *If* $X_n \sim KB(n, \theta q^{-n}, q)$, *then for* $n \to \infty$,

$$n - X_n \longrightarrow H\left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right)$$

Proof. Define $Y_n = n - X_n$. Then, by (14) with $z = \theta q^{-n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_n = x) &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{-n(n-x)} q^{(n-x)(n-x-1)/2} \theta^{n-x}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \theta \frac{q^i}{q^n}\right)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{-\frac{n^2+n-x^2-x}{2}} \theta^{n-x}}{q^{n(n-1)/2} q^{-n^2} \theta^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{n-i}\theta^{-1})} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{x(x+1)/2} \theta^{-x}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + q^i \theta^{-1})} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q q^{x(x-1)/2} \left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right)^x \frac{1}{\left(-\frac{q}{\theta}, q\right)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n = x) \to \frac{q^{x(x-1)/2}}{(q,q)_x} \left(\frac{q}{\theta}\right)^x e_q\left(-\frac{q}{\theta}\right).$$

□

If the parameter grows only slightly faster than in Theorem 4.1, then the limit distribution is degenerate.

Theorem 4.2. *If* $X_n \sim KB(n, q^{-n-f(n)}, q)$ *with* $f(n) \to \infty$ *for* $n \to \infty$, *then for* $n \to \infty$,

$$n - X_n \longrightarrow \delta_0,$$

where δ_0 *denotes the point measure in* 0.

Proof. Define $Y_n = n - X_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y_n = x) &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{(-n-f(n))(n-x)} q^{(n-x)(n-x-1)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{i-n-f(n)})} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{-(n^2+2nf(n)+n-xf(n)-x^2-x)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{i-n-f(n)})}. \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{q^{-(n^2+2nf(n)+n)/2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{i-n-f(n)})} = 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n^2+2nf(n)+n)/2} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 + q^{i-n-f(n)}) = 1.$$

By (14)

$$q^{(n^2+2nf(n)+n)/2}\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1+q^{i-n-f(n)}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1+q^{n+f(n)-i})$$
$$= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1+q^{f(n)+1+i}).$$

This tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$ by Lemma 2.1. ☐

Acknowledgement. We thank Stephan Wagner for the idea of using Mellin transform asymptotics for the sequence of means in Section 3.

REFERENCES

[1] P. FLAJOLET, X. GOURDON, AND P. DUMAS, *Mellin transforms and asymptotics: harmonic sums*, Theoret. Comput. Sci., 144 (1995), pp. 3–58. Special volume on mathematical analysis of algorithms.

[2] G. GASPER AND M. RAHMAN, *Basic hypergeometric series*, vol. 35 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. With a foreword by Richard Askey.

[3] N. L. JOHNSON, A. W. KEMP, AND S. KOTZ, *Univariate discrete distributions*, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], Hoboken, NJ, third ed., 2005.

[4] A. KEMP AND C. KEMP, *Weldon's dice data revisited*, The American Statistician, 45 (1991), pp. 216–222.

[5] A. W. KEMP, *Certain q-analogues of the binomial distribution*, Sankhyā Ser. A, 64 (2002), pp. 293–305. Selected articles from San Antonio Conference in honour of C. R. Rao (San Antonio, TX, 2000).

[6] A. W. KEMP AND J. NEWTON, *Certain state-dependent processes for dichotomised parasite populations*, J. Appl. Probab., 27 (1990), pp. 251–258.

[7] P. SZABŁOWSKI, *Discrete normal distribution and its relationship with Jacobi theta functions*, Statist. Probab. Lett., 52 (2001), pp. 289–299.

(Stefan Gerhold) VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8–10, 1040 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

(Martin Zeiner) GRAZ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, STEYRERGASSE 30, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: `sgerhold at fam.tuwien.ac.at`
E-mail address: `zeiner at finanz.math.tu-graz.ac.at`